

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354779483>

RANKING VALUE-CREATING GREEN APPROACH PRACTICES AND CHOOSING IDEAL GREEN MARKETING STRATEGY FOR LOGISTICS COMPANIES

Article · September 2021

DOI: 10.31181/oresta20402021k

CITATIONS

4

READS

196

6 authors, including:



Çağlar Karamaşa

Anadolu University

74 PUBLICATIONS 333 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mustafa Ergün

Giresun University

23 PUBLICATIONS 20 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Bayezid Gulcan

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi

10 PUBLICATIONS 29 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Selçuk Korucuk

Giresun University

80 PUBLICATIONS 274 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



SOĞUK ZİNCİR TAŞIMACILIĞI YAPAN İŞLETMELERDE 3PL FİRMA SEÇİMİ: İSTANBUL İLİ ÖRNEĞİ [View project](#)



Temel Lojistik Bilgisi [View project](#)

RANKING VALUE-CREATING GREEN APPROACH PRACTICES AND CHOOSING IDEAL GREEN MARKETING STRATEGY FOR LOGISTICS COMPANIES

Çağlar Karamaşa ^{1*}, Mustafa Ergün ², Bayezid Gülcan ³, Selçuk Korucuk ²,
Salih Memiş ², Dragan Vojinović ⁴

¹ Anadolu University, Faculty of Business, Turkey

² Giresun University, Bulancak Kadir Karabaş Vocational High School, Department of
International Trade and Logistics, Turkey

³ Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Faculty of Economics and Administrative
Sciences, Department of Business Administration, Turkey

⁴ University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Economics Pale, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Received: 10 June 2021

Accepted: 18 August 2021

First online: 22 September 2021

Research paper

Abstract: *The deterioration of environmental factors, economic and technological development, the formation of complexity in societies, the rise of complex structures have made the environment and green management practices more important. Especially value-creating green approaches are considered as critical components in both public and private sector applications and defined as indicators of success in terms of sustainability. On the other hand, green marketing strategies are also important practices that have a positive impact on the environment and should be carefully emphasized for the inheritance of nature to future generations. Recently, it has been on the agenda quite a lot and it is understood for all sectors. In this study, it is aimed to determine the criteria for value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region due to the above mentioned importance and to choose the most ideal green marketing strategy. In solving this problem, Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) methods, which are a complex decision-making method, have been used. According to the results of the research, it was determined that the most important criterion in value creating green approach applications as Environmental Focused Strategic Decisions (C3), and the least important criterion as Environmental Life Cycle Analysis (C2). It has been determined that the most ideal green marketing strategy is Green Innovation (A1). Accordingly the importance of the environmental based strategic decisions is revealed in terms of creating green marketing strategy for companies.*

Key words: *Green Approaches, Value Creating Green Approaches, Green Marketing Strategy, ENTROPY, MAUT.*

* Corresponding author.

ckaramasa@gmail.com (Ç. Karamaşa), mustafa.ergun@giresun.edu.tr (M. Ergün),
bgulcan@kmu.edu.tr (B. Gülcan) selcuk.korucuk@giresun.edu.tr (S. Korucuk),
salih.memis@giresun.edu.tr (S. Memiş), draganvojinovi123@gmail.com (D. Vojinović)

1. Introduction

Nowadays, waste and gas emissions generated by supply chains are a major source of serious environmental problems, leading to global warming and acid rain (Bloemhuf et al., 1995). Within the scope of supply chains, various studies are carried out to minimize the damages to the ecosystem. With globalization, solutions in all areas of supply chains have increased. These fields are; environmental compliance requirements, supply chain requirements and consumer demands, sustainability and corporate social responsibility projects (Shecterle and Senxian, 2008).

In addition to reducing costs in a competitive market environment, businesses were forced to adopt more environmentally friendly policies as a result of the Kyoto Protocol signed in 1997. Logistics is one of the main operations of the company. For this reason, logistics is costly and damages the environment. The purpose of green logistics is to reduce the environmental impacts of businesses while continuing their logistics activities.

Environmental negativities related to global warming in recent years have caused consumers to take part in activities aimed at protecting the environment. As a result, consumers began to act in a way that could affect their purchasing process. Therefore, it has become necessary for companies to change their business models in order to adapt their activities to green trends. The decision theory approach has become an important tool for providing real-time solutions to uncertainty problems, especially for sustainable engineering and environmental sustainability problems in engineering processes (Stojčić et al, 2019).

Perceived value is the value that the product or service has in the mind of the consumer. In other words, it is a consumer's general assessment of net benefit (Bolton & Drew, 1991; Patterson & Spreng, 1997). Based on this definition, green value, which is a new concept for this study, It can be expressed as the evaluation of a product or service consisting of the net benefit between what is received and what is given according to the consumer's environmental desires, sustainable expectations and environmentally sensitive needs.

Green logistics is an issue that has recently developed and become widespread in the transportation sector. The world's leading transport companies have begun to transition to green logistics since the early 2000s and local companies since 2010. Along with the laws and incentives applied in developed countries, railway, maritime and inland waterway transportation has also been used as a substitute for the road.

Green marketing activities are carried out with support from all relevant departments of the business in order to focus on customer needs and values. The adoption of a green marketing strategy is reorienting a business in terms of how it launches and manages its green practices, It also affects how it reacts to rapidly growing green customer demand and changes in dynamic market conditions, how it targets its customers, how it promotes market offers and how it uses green initiatives to create a sustainable competitive advantage (D'Souza et al., 2015). While applying green marketing strategies of businesses; It is important for them to know how to initiate and manage green activities, define their target customers, and encourage market resources to benefit from green activities in building sustainable competitiveness (Shi & Yang, 2019).

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

In an environment where competition is increasing, in order to gain competitive advantage, it is very important to integrate green marketing practices with every function of the business for a trustworthy corporate reputation, a green image for trustworthy corporate reputation, green marketing strategies to create a green image and strong green marketing strategies. In particular, green approaches that create value are seen as critical components in both public and private sector applications and are defined as indicators of success in terms of sustainability. In this context, determining the difficult and value-creating green approach marketing strategies, which is a complex process, and combining multiple variables to make decisions can be considered as a problem.

Firms need to be careful in creating green products and services and differentiating from competitors before selecting the green marketing strategies. That complicates the process of selecting and applying profitable green marketing strategy by managers too. Hence it is important to form value creating green marketing strategies for managers. In this context, it was aimed to determine the criteria for value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region (covering the provinces of Erzurum, Erzincan and Bayburt in northeastern region of Turkey) and to choose the most ideal green marketing strategy. Reasons for selecting the region of TRA1 are recent positive foreign trade based developments and the positive effects on the logistic activities. According to the authors' view there is not any study in the literature which aims to prioritize the value creating green approach practices and select the most ideal green marketing strategy with respect to logistics companies, and that shows the originality and novelty of the work.

The criterion weights were determined by the ENTROPY method and the MAUT Method was used in the selection of the most ideal green marketing strategy. In the later stages of the study, a detailed literature review was made for green values and green marketing strategies, ENTROPY and MAUT Method were applied to the study, and the study was completed with the Results and Recommendations section.

2. Literature Review

A detailed literature review on the value creating green approach and green marketing strategies is given below:

Confente and Ruso (2009) argued that the recyclability of products and packaging and the creation of limited spaces for logistics applications are examples of green logistics practices. Lopes et al. (2010), green value indicators are based on the certification of environmental management system, reducing energy consumption and using renewable energy. In addition, it is seen that product and packaging refer to environmentally friendly and coordinated transportation to recycling by acting with green awareness in product design. As a result of a study conducted by Hu and Hsu (2010) on companies in Taiwan, green supply chain implementation has been dealt with in four dimensions: supplier management, product recycling, enterprise relationship and life cycle management. Kim and Han (2011) demonstrated that both freight transport and storage actions are among the green logistics indicators. Zhang and Zhao (2012) demonstrate the importance of disposal of waste within the enterprise, stating that measures should also be taken for freight transport. Evangelista et al. (2012), it is seen that the legal regulations and the actions related to

freight transportation are added to the green logistics indicators. According to Seroka (2014), it connects green logistics indicators to the cooperation between product designer and supplier, environmental cooperation with customers, legal regulations, green design and reverse logistics. Wichaisri and Sopadang (2014) showed that activities aiming to minimize waste rate, intermodal transportation systems, cargo transportation activities and raising awareness of the organizational structure are among the green logistics indicators. Jaller et al. (2015), in addition to the legal regulations, the use of environmentally friendly vehicles and intermodal transport systems and the prevention of traffic congestion that may occur during the distribution of the cargo are presented as green logistics indicators. Atrek and Özdağoğlu (2016) provided data on the current status of green supply chain applications in the aluminum joinery sector in İzmir. As a result of the study, it was concluded that green supply chain applications are not at the desired level and should be developed. Zengin (2017) examined the effects of green logistics practices in sustainable development and aims to evaluate the situation in Turkey on green logistics. There are businesses that consider the practice green logistics in Turkey. Korucuk (2018) determined the effect of green logistics applications on the competitiveness and hospital performance with the application it has applied to 31 public-private-university hospitals operating in Ankara. Korucuk and Memiş (2019) have been prioritized by determining the performance factors of green port practices in enterprises that have received a green port certificate in Istanbul.

Research on green marketing strategies, on the other hand;

Kumar et al. (2012), as a result of their study, emphasized the necessity of including environmental awareness in this process while developing the strategies of businesses that want to be successful in an intense competitive environment.

Leonidou et al. (2013) In a study on hotel businesses operating in Greece, it was concluded that green marketing strategies can provide a competitive advantage, especially for hotel businesses operating in a highly competitive environment. Nadanyiova et al. (2013), in their study on small, medium and large-scale enterprises operating in Slovakia, stated that the inclusion of green marketing activities in the business processes of the enterprises will provide a competitive advantage against their competitors.

Eneizan et al. (2016) stated that green marketing strategies are effective on perceived business performance. Simao and Lizboa (2017), in their research on Toyota, determined that maintaining their activities in an environmentally conscious manner will provide them with some advantages such as low cost, improvement of production process, and increasing the corporate image.

Karimi et al. (2017) tried to bring together the proposed two-stage messenger problem and supplier selection problem in a green supply chain, where the seller must select suitable suppliers to purchase raw materials and finished products. It is assumed that the seller has several types of vehicles that can send them to receive raw materials purchased from selected suppliers. It is also assumed that the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions emitted by vehicles depend on the total distance between vendors and suppliers. A limitation on the total GHG emissions of selected vehicles is also considered. The aim of the study is to maximize the expected total vendor profit relative to the total cost of supplier selection and the total transportation cost of vehicles subject to budget and storage space constraints. As a

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

result of the calculations, it has been shown that an increase in the number of raw materials will cause a decrease in the purchased quantity of each raw material and final product at the beginning of the sales period.

Suresh et al. (2018) investigated the attitudes of consumers towards environmentally friendly products developed by the e-commerce site Tamil Nadu business towards green marketing strategies. Dzulkarnain et al. (2019), using SWOT analysis, aimed to formulate a green marketing strategies that can be applied in local private agricultural industry development.

Gedik (2020), the existence of environmental strategies of the enterprise; It aimed to measure whether it differs according to green marketing practices, environmental protection studies, elements of the green marketing mix, environmental responsibilities and customer relations.

Kumar and Rodrigues, (2020) considered two UK-based manufacturing companies. One of them is semiconductor manufacturing company (case A) and other is furniture manufacturing company (Case B). Case A and Case B are considered 'polar types' and are similar in different respects. They are similar in their commitment to integrating lean and green practices and have formed cross-functional teams to maximize the potential benefits from the integrated approach. They found that the real benefit of integrated lean and green practices can be realized when a cross-functional team works together across organizational boundaries from design to product delivery and after-sales service.

Handoko et al. (2021) made a case study of the pallet problem for the pulp and paper industry in Indonesia. They aimed to establish pallet material strategy and innovation using the concept of Reduce, Reuse and Recycle (3R) in the pallet supply unit to meet the needs of the production unit and avoid product delivery delays. In a closed-loop system, (solid) finished products were sent to consumers on wooden pallets, and the pallets were stored and reused at the consumer's site for later return (to the manufacturer); pallets used can carry a payload of more than 600 kg. With this green approach, it is aimed to overcome the pallet shortage of the pulp and paper manufacturing industry.

The fact that there is no study on value-creating green approach practices and choosing the most ideal green marketing strategy in the detailed literature review makes the subject valuable. On the other hand, it is thought that the study will contribute to the literature in terms of the field of application and the methods used.

In TR A1 region, ENTROPI and MAUT, which are among the Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) methods for value-creating green approach practices and choosing the most ideal green marketing strategy, have been utilized. Because MCDM methods; It is one of the methods applied differently from statistical analysis techniques, that is, objective and non-objective factors are evaluated together. Analyzes are carried out within the framework of expert opinions, and at the same time, the study can be shaped according to the opinion of a single expert or a group of experts. (Korucuk, 2019).

3. Methodology

In this section, value creating green approach applications and ENTROPY and MAUT methods used in choosing the most ideal green marketing strategy are explained.

3.1. Entropy

Entropy is one of the weighting methods that reflect reality. Entropy, an effective method used to explain the maximum uncertainty or minimum certainty of the problem, also eliminates human-induced errors. In practice, the smaller the value in the method, the smaller the degree of irregularity (Wu et al., 2011: 5163-5165; Çiçek, 2013: 59; Korucuk et al., 2019).

The application steps of Entropy weight method are given below (Abdullah and Otheman, 2013: 26; Korucuk et al., 2020).

Step 1. Creating the Initial Decision Matrix

For a multi-criteria decision problem with m decision alternatives and n evaluation criteria, an initial decision matrix is created as follows:

$$X_{mn} = \begin{matrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1j} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{i1} & X_{i2} & \cdots & X_{ij} \end{matrix} \quad (1)$$

Step 2. Normalization of the Initial Decision Matrix

In the normalization process, the following formulas apply according to whether the criteria are benefit (2) or cost (3):

$$r_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - x_j^{\min}}{x_j^{\max} - x_j^{\min}} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

$$r_{ij} = \frac{x_j^{\max} - x_{ij}}{x_j^{\max} - x_j^{\min}} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

After the initial matrix is normalized, equation (4) is used by showing R = [rij]mxn in the matrix.

$$P_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

Step 3. Calculation of Entropy Value

The entropy value (Ej) is calculated using the following equation (5):

$$E_j = -k \sum_{i=1}^m P_{ij} \ln(P_{ij}) \quad (5)$$

Where k is calculated by the formula $k = (\ln(m))^{-1}$.

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

Step 4. Calculation of Degree of Differentiation

The degree of differentiation of the entropy value (d_j) is calculated using the equation (6):

$$d_j = 1 - E_j; \forall j \quad (6)$$

Step 5. Calculation of Entropy Weight

The objective weight (W_j) of each criterion is defined according to equation (7):

$$W_j = \frac{d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j}; \forall j \quad (7)$$

3.2. MAUT

MAUT method is a method used by Fisburn (1967) and Keeney (1974) to find the most useful alternative based on both qualitative and quantitative criteria. This method is aimed at finding the most useful alternative based on both qualitative and quantitative criteria. In fact, in the MAUT method, it is aimed to find the most beneficial alternative by making subjective data computable (Loken & Botterud, 2007). Basically, every decision maker consciously or indirectly tries to optimize by bringing all his perspectives together. The decision maker's preferences are also the utility function represented. The decision maker does not need to know this function at the beginning of the decision-making process, so first he has to build the function. The utility function is a way of measuring preferability or alternatives (Tunca et al., 2016).

In addition, decision makers may not be able to clearly reflect their opinions or express their thoughts clearly in determining the complex structure and relationships of real-life problems. In other words, there may be situations where the criterion values cannot be stated with exact expressions (Ergün, et al., 2020).

In this direction, the steps of the MAUT method are given below (Ishizaka & Nemery, 2013; Talkan and Uygun, 2014 and Ergün, et al., 2020);

Step 1: Determination of Criteria and Alternatives

The criteria (a_n) in the decision problem and the alternatives (x_m) that will help in selecting the criteria should be determined.

Step 2: Determination of Weight Values

Assignment is made to the weight values (w_j) that allow the alternatives to be evaluated correctly and for which priorities are determined. The sum of all (w_j) values must equal 1.

$$\sum_{j=1}^m w_j = 1 \quad (8)$$

Step 3: Determining the Decision Matrix

The value measures of the criteria are assigned. This assignment is made by considering paired comparisons for qualitative criteria, while quantitative values are

for quantitative criteria. Based on all these, value assignments are made in systems of 5, 100 and so on (xm).

Step 4: Calculation of Normalized Benefit Values

In the normalization process, firstly the best and worst values are determined for each feature and a value of 1 is assigned to the best value and 0 to the worst value. For the calculation of other values, the formula in Equation (9) below is used.

$$f_j(a_i) = \frac{f_i(a_i) - \min(f_i)}{\max(f_i) - \min(f_i)} \quad (9)$$

Step 5: Calculation of Total Benefit Values

After the normalization process, the process of determining the benefit values is started. The utility function formula is as in Equation (10).

$$U(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^q f_j(a_i) \cdot w_j \quad (10)$$

4. Findings

Under this title, a presentation of the findings obtained by applying ENTROPY and MAUT methods for value-creating green approach practices and the most ideal green marketing strategy in the TR A1 Region and the evaluations regarding these findings will be presented. In this study, the criteria for value-creating green approach practices were created by using expert opinions and literature review (Van Hoek, 1999, Sarkis, 2003 and Zhu et al. 2007) and shown in Table 1. Green marketing strategies options are formed (Rodrigue et al., 2001, Kemp and Pearson, 2007, Fargnoli et al., 2012 and Eneizan et al., 2016) are presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Criteria for value-creating green approach practices

Criteria	Coded values
Systematic Environmentally Friendly Applications	(C1)
Environmental Life Cycle Analysis	(C2)
Environmental Focused Strategic Decisions	(C3)
Designing Recyclable and Reusable Products	(C4)
Product, Process and Service Valuation	(C5)
Decision Making and Tracking for Environmentally Friendly Products	(C6)
Green Supply Chain Initiative	(C7)

In Table 2 below, green marketing strategy options are given.

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

Table 2. Alternatives for green marketing strategy

Alternatives	Coded values
Green Innovation	(A1)
Green Logistics	(A2)
Green Pricing	(A3)
Green Design and Positioning	(A4)
Green Segmentation and Targeting	(A5)
Green Communication	(A6)
Green Alliance	(A7)

Academicians (3) who are the stakeholders of the subject; Erzurum (8 managers), Erzincan (4 managers), and Bayburt (2 managers). A total of 17 questionnaires were submitted to the managers of international logistic firms.

4.1. Weighting Criteria

At this stage, the initial decision matrix has been established to evaluate the criteria and seen as Table 3.

Table 3. Initial Decision Matrix for Entropy

Criteria	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
C ₁	1	7.10	3.63	4.70	4.19	5.49	5.61
C ₂	3.34	1	2.76	3.81	5.80	3.39	2.70
C ₃	4.56	6.44	1	2.73	2.99	4.13	3.89
C ₄	5.15	6.01	6.60	1	3.15	5.44	2.74
C ₅	6.44	5.90	3.11	5.04	1	6.10	5.79
C ₆	5.33	4.84	2.49	4.19	5.44	1	3.89
C ₇	3.17	5.39	3.90	6.15	6.17	3.96	1

Following to that the normalization process is made and the normalized decision matrix is formed as Table 4.

Table 4. Normalized Decision Matrix for Entropy

Criteria	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
C ₁	0.035	0.193	0.155	0.170	0.146	0.186	0.219
C ₂	0.115	0.027	0.119	0.138	0.202	0.115	0.105
C ₃	0.157	0.176	0.043	0.099	0.104	0.14	0.152
C ₄	0.178	0.164	0.28	0.036	0.11	0.184	0.107
C ₅	0.222	0.161	0.132	0.183	0.035	0.207	0.226
C ₆	0.184	0.132	0.106	0.151	0.189	0.034	0.152
C ₇	0.109	0.147	0.165	0.223	0.214	0.134	0.039

After computing entropy and degree of differentiation values, the objective weights of each criterion are obtained as Table 5.

Table 5. Weights (W_j) of criteria

Criteria	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
W _j	0.13	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.15
	9	8	2	6	1	0	4

According to Table 5, “Environmental Focused Strategic Decisions”, “Green Supply Chain Initiative”, “Product, Process and Service Valuation” and “Systematic Environmentally Friendly Applications” were determined as the most important main criteria for internationally qualified logistics companies. On the other hand, the least important criteria were found to be “Environmental Life Cycle Analysis”, “Decision Making and Tracking for Environmentally Friendly Products” and “Designing Recyclable and Reusable Products” respectively.

4.2. Ranking Alternatives

In this section, MAUT method is used to choose the most ideal green marketing strategy. Using the weights of the criteria obtained by the ENTROPY method, the most ideal green marketing strategy was selected with the MAUT method. Each alternative was evaluated using the MAUT questionnaire within the framework of the previously determined decision criteria. During the evaluation, the participants were asked to give each alternative a score of 1-5 (1- worst, 5- best).

Firstly initial decision matrix for alternatives in terms of MAUT method is created as Table 6.

Table 6. Initial Decision Matrix for MAUT

Alternatives	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
A ₁	4	5	3	4	4	5	4
A ₂	4	5	3	3	4	4	3
A ₃	3	4	4	4	3	4	4
A ₄	4	3	3	2	2	2	3
A ₅	3	4	2	3	1	2	3
A ₆	4	4	1	2	3	5	3
A ₇	2	2	3	3	2	3	2

Then normalization process is applied and normalized decision matrix is obtained as Table 7.

Table 7. Normalized Decision Matrix for MAUT

Alternatives	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
A ₁	1	1	0.667	1	1	1	1
A ₂	1	1	0.667	0.500	1	0.667	0.500
A ₃	0.500	0.667	1	1	0.667	0.667	1
A ₄	1	0.333	0.667	0	0.333	0	0.500
A ₅	0.500	0.667	0.333	0.500	0	0	0.500
A ₆	1	0.667	0	0	0.667	1	0.500
A ₇	0	0	0.667	0.500	0.333	0.333	0

Following to that normalized benefit and total benefit values are computed according to the Eqs. (8) and (9) respectively. Matrix containing total benefit values is seen as Table 8.

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

Table 8. Matrix for Normalized Total Benefit Value

Alternatives	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
A ₁	0.139	0.128	0.108	0.136	0.151	0.130	0.154
A ₂	0.139	0.128	0.108	0.068	0.151	0.087	0.077
A ₃	0.070	0.085	0.162	0.136	0.101	0.087	0.154
A ₄	0.139	0.043	0.108	0	0.050	0	0.077
A ₅	0.070	0.085	0.054	0.068	0	0	0.077
A ₆	0.139	0.085	0	0	0.101	0.130	0.077
A ₇	0	0	0.108	0.068	0.050	0.043	0

After that the ranking of the alternatives in this context is given in Table 9 as below.

Table 9. Ranking of Alternatives

Alternatives	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
U(ai)	0.9	0.75	0.79	0.41	0.354	0.532	0.269
Ranking	46	8	5	7	6	4	7
	1	3	2	5	6	4	7

According to Table 9, where the alternatives are listed, the most ideal green marketing strategy in logistics companies has been "Green Innovation". Other important green marketing strategies were "Green Pricing", "Green Logistics" and "Green Communication", respectively. The least important green marketing strategy has been the "Green Alliance". The other least important green marketing strategies were determined to be the "Green Design and Positioning" and the "Green Segmentation and Targeting", respectively. In this framework, the general ranking of green marketing strategies selection is A1> A3> A2> A6> A4> A5> A7.

5. Sensitivity Analysis

It is important to review the results of the model according to the demands of decision makers and different conditions. An essential component of the review is the detection of alternative ranking sensitivity in terms of varying decision makers' judgments. For this study, a sensitivity analysis was done to present the alternative ranking according to the changes in criteria weight as per the judgments of the decision-makers (Korucuk, 2019). If this level of rationality is demanded from an individual decision-maker, then MCDM methods used as a support to rational decision making should also satisfy the condition (Pamučar et al., 2017) Several scenarios are formed for examining the alternative rankings for sensitivity analysis. While the first scenario assigns equal criteria weights, others allow for the interchange of weights between criteria. The obtained criteria weights for six scenarios are given in the Appendix A. The results for the alternative ranking of the six different scenarios are presented in Table 10.

Table 10. Sensitivity analysis results

Alternatives	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
Ranking 1	3	2	5	6	4	7	
Scenario 1. Assigning equal weights to all criteria							
Ranking 1	3	2	5	6	4	7	
Scenario 2. The interchange between criteria having the highest weight and the lowest weight							
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7
Scenario 3. The interchange between criteria having the second highest weight and the second lowest weight							
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7
Scenario 4. The interchange between criteria having the third highest weight and the third lowest weight							
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7

The results of the sensitivity analysis show a similar alternative ranking for the four different scenarios, an indication of the strength of the study in terms of significance and validity.

6. Discussion

Studies related to value-creating green approaches in terms of firms have gained importance in the recent years. In this study, the criteria for value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region were determined and the most ideal green marketing strategy was chosen. According to the ENTROPY results, Environmental Focused Strategic Decisions (C3) was found as the most important criterion regarding green value creation practices with the opinions of 17 people in total in the field of logistics and companies operating in the TR A1 region (Erzurum, Erzincan and Bayburt). This result is similar to the studies of Van Hoek (1999); Sarkis (2003); Zhu et al. (2007). In the ENTROPY method, the least significant criteria were obtained as Environmental Life Cycle Analysis (C2), Decision Making and Tracking for Environmentally Friendly Products (C6) and Designing Recyclable and Reusable Products (C4).

Besides, Green Innovation (A1) was obtained as the most ideal green marketing strategy and that is similar to the studies of Kemp and Pearson (2007); Lin et al. (2009); Zailani et al. (2011); Weng et al. (2015); Chu et al. (2019). On the other hand this result does not correspond to the studies of Crane (1998); Solvalier (2010); Fargnoli et al. (2012); Yilmazsoy and Schmidbauer (2015).

It is important to integrate the concepts of corporate reputation, green image, green marketing strategies and green marketing applications with the functions of firms in competitive environment. In this context, this study that aims to prioritize the value-creating green approach practices and select the most ideal green marketing strategy differs from others with respect to considered methodology and obtained results.

7. Conclusion and Future Suggestions

Industrialization and consumption culture directly harm the nature to which human is bound by an organic bond and with the realization of the irreversible consequences of this damage, “green activities” are rapidly gaining importance with the realization that the human race will directly affect both the present and future generations. In order to reduce the harm to nature, human based approaches have been abandoned and environmental based approaches have gained importance. In this direction, efforts have been started in order to minimize the harm caused by human beings to the environment in a wide range ranging from individuals on a global scale to the state and even to international organizations. Especially in the world, considering that raw material and energy costs are increasing and will continue to increase and these items will constitute the biggest item of production costs, businesses should use sustainability and therefore green marketing strategies. Green marketing strategies create a better working environment in businesses and feed lean practices by improving corporate performance, and although lean management does not focus on pollution, it has a positive effect on green management by ending activities that reduce environmental and productive inefficiencies.

In this study, experts who were thought to be parties to the subject were interviewed, but due to time constraints, the study was conducted in the TR A1 region. So it is difficult to generalize results for other regions of Turkey. With a similar study that will cover wider regions in the future, it may be possible to compare the results of green practices in logistics between regions. On the other hand, the problem addressed in this study can be applied to enterprises or producers operating in different fields on a sectoral basis. Similarly, the impact of different combinations of criteria affecting green logistics activities can be examined in future studies. In addition, this study can be developed in the future by adding fuzzy logic with other multi-criteria decision making and/or other parametric or non-parametric methods, and the results can be discussed by comparing them.

References

- Abdullah, L. & Otheman, A. (2013), A New Weight for Sub-Criteria in Interval Type- 2 Fuzzy TOPSIS and Its Application, *I. J. Intelligent Systems and Applications*, 2, 25-33.
- Atrek, B. & Özdağoğlu, A. (2016), Yeşil Tedarik Zinciri Uygulamaları: Alüminyum Doğrama Sektörü İzmir Örneği, *Anadolu University Journal of Social Sciences*, 14(2), 13-26.
- Bloemhuf-Ruwaard, J. M., P. van Beek, L., Hordijk, L.N. & Van Wassenhove (1995). Interactions between operational and environmental management. *European Journal of Operational Research* 85(2): 229243.
- Bolton, R.N. & Drew, J.H. (1991). A multistage model of consumers' assessment of service quality and value. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(4), 375-384.
- Chu, Z., Wang, L. & Lai, F. (2019), Customer pressure and green innovations at third party logistics providers in China: The moderation effect of organizational culture, *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 30(1), 57-75.

Confente, I. & Russo, I. (2009). *Green Logistics in Italy: new challenge for sustainable development*. Paper presented at the 12th International QMOD and Toulon-Verona Conference, International Conference on Quality and Service Sciences (ICQSS), Verona, Italy.

Crane, A. (1998) Exploring Green Alliances, *Journal Of Marketing Management*, 14(6), 559-579.

Çiçek H. (2013), *Maksimum Entropi Yöntemi İle Türkiye'deki Coğrafi Bölgeleri Yıllık Hava Sıcaklık Değerlerinin İncelenmesi*, Afyon Kocatepe University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Unpublished Master Thesis, Afyonkarahisar.

D'Souza, C., Taghian, M., Sullivan-Mort, G. & Gilmore, A. (2015). An Evaluation Of The Role Of Green Marketing And A Firm's Internal Practices For Environmental Sustainability, *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 23(7), 1-16.

Dzulkarnain, S.I., Ariqoh, T. & Maulida, N. (2019). Green marketing strategy for local specialty agro-industry development to support creative agro-industry, <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/230/1/012052/pdf>, (08.05.2021).

Eneizan, B., Wahab, K. A., Sharif, Z. M. & Obaid, T. (2016). Effects of Green Marketing Strategy on the Financial and Non-Financial Performance of Firms: A Conceptual Paper, *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Oman Chapter)*, 5(12), 14-27.

Ergün, M., Korucuk, S. & Memiş, S. (2020). Sürdürülebilir Afet Lojistiğine Yönelik İdeal Afet Depo Yeri Seçimi: Giresun İli Örneği, *Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 6(1), 144-165.

Evangelista, P., Hüge-Brodin, M., Isaksson, K. & Sweeney, E. (2012). *A Case Study Investigation on Purchasing Green Transport and Logistics Services*. Presented at the 21st Annual Conference of the International Purchasing and Supply Education and Research Association (IPSERA), Naples, Italy.

Fargnoli, M., Minicis, M. D. & Tronci, M. (2012). *Product's Life Cycle Modelling For Eco Designing Product-Service Systems*, International Design Conference - Design 2012, Dubrovnik - Croatia, May 21 - 24, 869-878.

Gedik, Y. (2020). Yeşil Pazarlama Stratejileri ve İşletmelerin Amaçlarına Etkisi, *International Anatolia Academic Online Journal / Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 6(2),46-65.

Handoko, F., Paula, C., Hidayat, S., Rastini, E. K., Wijayaningtyas, M., & Vitasari, P. (2021). A green-based manufacturing system to solve pallet shortage problems. *Heliyon*, 7(4), e06823.

Hu, A. H. & Hsu, C. W. (2010). Critical factors for implementing green supply chain management practice: an empirical study of electrical and electronics industries in Taiwan. *Management Research Review*, 33(6), 586-608.

Ishizaka, A. & Nemery, P. (2013). *Multi-criteria decision analysis: methods and software*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Published, Chichester/UK.

Jaller, M., Sánchez, S., Green, J. & Fandiño, M. (2015). Quantifying the impacts of sustainable city logistics measures in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 12, 613-626.

Karimi, M., Niknamfar, A. H. & Pasandideh, S. H. R. (2017). Two-stage single period inventory management for a manufacturing vendor under green-supplier supply

chain. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 8(4), 704-718.

Kemp, R. & Pearson, P. (2007). Final Report of The MEI Project Measuring Eco-Innovation, Brussels, DG Research of the European Commission, Eurostat, the European Environment Agency (EEA) and the Joint Research Center (JRC) of the European Commission.

Kim, S.T. & Han, C. H. (2011). Measuring Environmental Logistics Practices. *The Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics*, 27(2), 237-258.

Konuşkan, Ö. & Uygun, Ö. (2014). Çok nitelikli karar verme (maut) yöntemi ve bir uygulaması, *ISITES 2014*, 1403-1412.

Korucuk, S. (2018). Yeşil Lojistik Uygulamalarının Rekabet Gücü Ve Hastane Performansına Etkisinin Lojistik Regresyon Analizi İle Belirlenmesi: Ankara İli Örneği, *Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi*, 19(1), 280-299.

Korucuk, S. (2019). Üretim İşletmelerinde Verimliliğin Önündeki Engellerin ve Verim Arttırıcı Tekniklerin Bütünleşik AHP-TOPSIS İle Sıralanması: Erzurum İli Örneği, *Verimlilik Dergisi*, 1, 219-241.

Korucuk, S. (2021). Ordu Ve Giresun İllerinde Kentsel Lojistik Performans Unsurlarına Yönelik Karşılaştırmalı Bir Analiz, *Dicle Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 13(26), 141-155.

Korucuk, S., Akyurt, H. & Turpcu, E. (2019). Otel İşletmelerinde Hizmet Kalitesinin Entropi Yöntemi İle Ölçülmesi: Giresun İlindeki Üç Yıldızlı Oteller Üzerine Bir Araştırma. *MANAS Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 8 (1), 697-709.

Korucuk, S. & Memiş, S. (2019). Yeşil Liman Uygulamaları Performans Kriterlerinin Dematel Yöntemi ile Önceliklendirilmesi: İstanbul İli Örneği, *AVRASYA Uluslararası Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 7(16), 134 - 148.

Korucuk, S., Memiş, S. & Ergün, M. (2020). Kobi'lerde İnovasyon Stratejilerinin Önceliklendirilmesine Yönelik Bir Çalışma: Giresun İli Örneği, *Karadeniz Teknik Üniversitesi İletişim Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 2020-1, 156-168.

Kumar, M. & Rodrigues, V. S. (2020). Synergetic effect of lean and green on innovation: A resource-based perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 219, 469-479.

Kumar, V., Rahman, Z., Kazmi, A. A. & Goyal, P. (2012). Evolution of Sustainability As Marketing Strategy: Beginning of New Era. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 482 - 489.

Lin, C.Y., Ho, Y.H. & Chiang, S.H. (2009). Organizational Determinants of Green Innovation Implementation in the Logistics Industry, *The International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 2(1), 3-12.

Loken, E. & Botterud, A. (2007). *Planning of Mixed Local Energy Distribution Systems: A Comparison of Two Multi-Criteria Decision Methods*, 28th Annual IAEE International Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, 1586-1587.

Leonidou, L. C., Leonidou, C. N., Fotiadis, T. A. & Zeriti, A. (2013). Resources and Capabilities As Drivers of Hotel Environmental Marketing Strategy: Implications for Competitive Advantage and Performance. *Tourism Management*, 94-110.

- Lopes, C.P., Akabane, G., Soares, W.L. & Barreto, R.M. (2010). *Toyota Motor European (TME) Sustainable Logistics: An Example to Brazil*. Presented at POMS 21st Annual Conference, May 7-10, 2010, Vancouver, Canada.
- Nadanyiova, M., Kicova, E. & Rypakova, M. (2015). Green marketing and Its Exploitation in Slovak Companies. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 219 – 226.
- Pamučar, D., Božanić, D. & Ranđelović, A. (2017). Multi-criteria decision making: An example of sensitivity analysis. *Serbian Journal of Management*, 12(1), 1-27.
- Patterson, P. & Spreng, R. (1997). Modeling the relationship between perceived value, satisfaction and repurchase intention in a business-to-business, service context: an empirical examination. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 8(5), 414-434.
- Rodrigue, J.P., Slack, B. & Comtois, C. (2001). Green Logistics The Paradoxes of, Eds: A. Brewer, K. Button ve D. Hensher, *The Handbook of Logistics and Supply-Chain Management, Handbooks in Transport 2*, 1-11, London, Pergamon/Elsevier.
- Tunca, Z., Ömürbek, N., Cömert, G. & Aksoy, E. (2016). Opec ülkelerinin performanslarının çok kriterli karar verme yöntemlerinden entropi ve maut ile değerlendirilmesi. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Vizyoner Dergisi*, 7(14), 1-12.
- Sarkis, J. (2003). A Strategic Framework For Green Supply Chains Management, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 11, 397-409.
- Seroka, O. (2014). *The development of green logistics for implementation sustainable development strategy in companies*. 1st International Conference Green Cities 2014, May 19-21, 2014, Szczecin, Polska, 302-309.
- Shecterle, R. & Senxian, J. (2008). *Building a Green Supply Chain: Social Responsibility for Fun and Profit*. Aberdeen, Aberdeen Group.
- Shi, Y. & Yang, Y. (2018). Critical Factors to Green Marketing Strategies Implementation of Chinese Enterprises, *Journal of Marketing Development and Competitiveness*, West Palm Beac, 12(2), 76-93.
- Simão, L. & Lisboa, A. (2017). Green Marketing and Green Brand – The Toyota Case. *Procedia manufacturing*, 183 – 194.
- Solvalier, I. (2010). Green Marketing Strategies-Case Study About ICA Group AB, Unpublished Master Thesis in Business Administration and Economics Degree, Karlstad University Karlstad Business School, Karlstad, Sweden.
- Stojčić, M., Zavadskas, E. K., Pamučar, D., Stević, Ž. & Mardani, A. (2019). Application of MCDM methods in sustainability engineering: A literature review 2008-2018. *Symmetry*, 11(3), 350.
- Suresh, A., Kumar, K.R., Jothikumar, R. & Hung, Y.T. (2018). Environmental Sustainability of Green Marketing Strategy: Empirical Evidence From E-Tail Consumer's in Tamil Nadu, *International Journal of Environment and Waste Management*, 22(1/2/3/4), 215-227.
- Van Hoek, R. I. (1999). From Reversed Logistics To Green Supply Chains, *Supply Chains Management*, 4(3), 129-134.
- Wichaisri, S. & Sopadang, A. (2014). *Sustainable Logistics System: A Framework and Case Study*, Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Ranking value-creating green approach practices in logistics companies operating in the TR A1 region and choosing ideal green marketing strategy

Weng, H.H.R., Chen, J.S. & Chen, P.C. (2015). Effects of green innovation on environmental and corporate performance: a stakeholder perspective. *Sustainability*, 7(5), 4997–5026.

Wu, Z., Sun, J., Liang, L. & Zha, Y. (2011). Determination of Weights for Ultimate Cross Efficiency Using Shannon Entropy, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38, 5162-5165.

Yılmazsoy, B. & Schmidbauer, H. (2015). Green Segmentation: A CrossNational Study, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 33(7), 981- 100.

Zailani, S., Amran, A. & Jumadi, H. (2011), Green innovation adoption among logistic service providers in Malaysia: an exploratory study on the managers' perceptions, *International Business Management*, 5(3), 104-113.

Zengin, E. (2017). *Türkiye’de Yeşil Lojistik Uygulamaları*, 6th National Logistics and Supply Chain Congress, 17-19 May, Antalya.

Zhang, G. & Zhao, Z. (2012). Green Packaging Management of Logistics Enterprises, International Conference on Applied Physics and Industrial Engineering, *Physics Procedia*, 24, 900-905.

Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J. & Lai, K. (2007). Green Supply Chains Management Implications For Closing The Loop, *Transportation Research Part E*, 44, 1 -18.

© 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



Appendix: Scenarios for alternative ranking

Table A1. Scenario 1 alternative ranking

	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
W _j	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143

	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
U(ai)	0.953	0.763	0.786	0.406	0.359	0.548	0.263
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7

Table A2. Scenario 2 alternative ranking

	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
W _j	0.139	0.162	0.128	0.136	0.151	0.130	0.154

	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
U(ai)	0.957	0.769	0.784	0.405	0.366	0.555	0.246
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7

Table A3. Scenario 3 alternative ranking

	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
W _j	0.139	0.162	0.128	0.136	0.151	0.154	0.130

	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
U(ai)	0.946	0.751	0.795	0.410	0.354	0.539	0.269
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7

Table A4. Scenario 4 alternative ranking

	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇
W _j	0.139	0.162	0.128	0.151	0.136	0.130	0.154

	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅	A ₆	A ₇
U(ai)	0.946	0.751	0.800	0.412	0.362	0.522	0.272
Ranking	1	3	2	5	6	4	7